
Please identify and consider these four brief comments as coming from me as a private citizen only and not in my capacity as an employee of one of the environmental agencies.

1. The Public Trust Doctrine has a long, rich and well-documented history. A thorough understanding of that history will be essential to planning future changes to law and policy.
2. Much of the division of regulation among separate agencies is for a reason. For example, c.91 was divided between two agencies in order to avoid conflicts of interest between licensing and planning state funded construction projects.
3. It will be very difficult developing aesthetic guidelines. Beauty is in the eye of the beholder and people will always disagree. However it would be disingenuous not to recognize the relevance of this issue in the minds of the citizens. Whether it can be regulated remains to be seen. Good luck.
4. The Committee will do well to study the practices of other states and countries. This is something that state government sometimes doesn't have the time to do.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you post this comment on the web page, please only identify me as my name appears immediately below.

Samuel J. Bennett
Marblehead, MA